## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Coop Normalis at 0:44 M 14 F 0			
	Plaintiff,	) Case Number 8:11MJ150			
	vs.	DETENTION ORDER			
LAN	ICE GIBBONS,				
	Defendant.				
1	Order For Detention  After the defendant waived a detention the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of e above-named defendant detained			
-	Statement Of Reasons For The Detention  The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds:  X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community.				
1	that which was contained in the Pretrial  X (1) Nature and circumstances of  X (a) The crime: Possession of methamphetamine penalty of 20 years im (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a	n with intent to distribute 50 grams or more is a serious crime and carries a maximum prisonment.			
-	may affect wh The defendar The defendar The defendar	•			

## DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		community.
		The defendant does not have any significant community
		ties. Past conduct of the defendant:
		ast conduct of the defendant.
	X X X	The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at
		court proceedings.
	(b) At the	time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation Parole
		Supervised Release Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
	(c) Other	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
		deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to
		deportation if convicted.
		The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement
		(BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
		Ollici.
X (4)	The nature a release are a	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's
X (4)	release are a	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction
X (4)	release are a	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows:
X(4)	release are a	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction
	release are a Two pridemisconduct v	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction
	release are a  Two prid  misconduct v  Rebuttable I In determinin	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions ag that the defendant should be detained, the Court also
	release are a Two prides misconduct we see the seconduct we see the seconduct with the seconduct we see the seconduct with the seconduct we see the seconduct with th	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows:  or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions  og that the defendant should be detained, the Court also following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C.
X (5)	release are a Two pride misconduct was a seconduct was a secon	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions ag that the defendant should be detained, the Court also following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. wich the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
X (5)	release are a  Two pridesign in the second s	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions ag that the defendant should be detained, the Court also following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
X (5)	Rebuttable I In determining relied on the § 3142(e) what is a safety	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions or g that the defendant should be detained, the Court also following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the of any other person and the community because the Court
X (5)	Rebuttable I In determining relied on the § 3142(e) what is a safety	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions og that the defendant should be detained, the Court also following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: to condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the of any other person and the community because the Court that the crime involves:
X (5)	Rebuttable I In determining relied on the § 3142(e) what is a safety	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions og that the defendant should be detained, the Court also following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably be the appearance of the defendant as required and the of any other person and the community because the Court that the crime involves:  (1) A crime of violence; or
X (5)	Rebuttable I In determining relied on the § 3142(e) what is a safety	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: or felony drug convictions (2001) (2002); Conviction with weapon (1999).  Presumptions og that the defendant should be detained, the Court also following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: to condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the of any other person and the community because the Court that the crime involves:

DETENTION ORDER	- Page 3		
	(4)	A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.	
X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will		•	
	assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the		
safety of the community because the Court finds that there is			
probable cause to believe:		use to believe:	
	<u>X</u> (1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled	
		substance violation which has a maximum penalty of	
		10 years or more.	
	(2)	That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during	
		and in relation to any crime of violence, including a	

## D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

dangerous weapon or device).

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge